CRYSTAL SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHERS

**Research Article**

Crystal Journal of Physics

# $AlH_6$ Clathrate Confined within h-BN: Design, Ab Initio Estimates, Synthesis Route and a Quantitative Assessment Toward High-Temperature Superconductivity

**Giustino Travaglini***

C.da Quarto da Capo n°14 i.2, 66043, Casoli (CH), Italy

**Corresponding Author:** Giustino Travaglini, C.da Quarto da Capo n°14 i.2, 66043, Casoli (CH), Italy.

**Cite:** C. Travaglini, "$AlH_6$ Clathrate Confined within h-BN: Design, Ab Initio Estimates, Synthesis Route and a Quantitative Assessment Toward High-Temperature Superconductivity," Crystal Journal of Physics, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 01-06, 2026.

**Received:** January 23, 2026; **Accepted:** February 03, 2026; **Published:** February 10, 2026

***Abstract***

*I propose and analyze a materials architecture in which an aluminium-hydride clathrate (nominal $AlH_6$ motifs forming a connected network) is mechanically and chemically confined within an inert, high-stiffness hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) matrix. The confinement is intended to provide "chemical pressure" and kinetic stabilization of a hydrogen-rich network while preserving the light-mass phonon modes of hydrogen that can drive strong electron-phonon coupling. I present (i) an atomistic model and stability considerations; (ii) detailed computational workflows to evaluate electronic structure, phonons, anharmonic renormalization, electron-phonon coupling, and superconducting transition temperature (Tc) including explicit numerical evaluations; and (iii) an experimentally realistic synthesis and characterization protocol (materials, processing, and diagnostics). Using conservative but optimistic parameter choices supported by literature analogies (high-pressure hydrides and light-element clathrates), I find that the system can plausibly reach Tc in the high-temperature range (≈200–250 K) under idealized assumptions, and I quantify what improvements would be required to reach ~300 K. I identify the critical calculations and measurements needed to validate or falsify the design.*

## Introduction

### Motivation

Superconductivity at or above 300 K and ambient pressure remains a central challenge. Hydrogen-rich materials under pressure and engineered flat-band systems demonstrate that very high pairing scales are possible, but practical materials must (a) be stable or metastable at 1 atm, (b) have manageable synthesis routes, and (c) present reproducible, volumetric superconducting signatures. A promising route is to combine hydrogen's light mass (high phonon frequency) with a robust "host" that provides mechanical confinement and electronic tuning. Hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) is an attractive host: chemically inert, high bulk modulus in layered directions, and widely used as a substrate and encapsulant for 2D heterostructures. I examine whether an Al–H clathrate, stabilized and confined by h-BN, could present the conditions for very high Tc.

### Design Idea Overview

- Build a continuous or percolating network of $AlH_6$ octahedra (or similar Al- centered hydrogen cages) filling interstices in an h-BN scaffold or forming a layered intercalation.
- Use nanoscale confinement and interface bonding (van der Waals + weak bonding to BN rim regions) to emulate chemical pre-compression experienced by hydrides at high pressures.
- Tune carrier density by slight Al stoichiometry or light doping to increase $N(E_F)$.
- Evaluate electron-phonon coupling (λ) and the logarithmic phonon average ($\omega_{log}$) after including anharmonic renormalization (expected to be significant for hydrogen).

## Materials Model and Structural Considerations

### Proposed Atomic Model(S)

I consider two plausible motifs (both to be modeled and tested):

### Model A: Interstitial Clathrate Network (3D)

- Start from an $AlH_6$ octahedral motif similar to octahedrally coordinated hydrides; join them into a three-dimensional framework analogous to clathrate cages or perovskitic hydride sublattices.
- Interstices between clathrate cages are occupied or partially filled by h-BN nanosheets forming a mechanically rigid

scaffold that clamps the hydrogen network and prevents collapse/decomposition.

**Model B: Layered $AlH_6$ planes confined by h-BN (quasi-2D but stacked):**

- Alternating stacking of $AlH_6$ network planes and h-BN sheets. Interlayer registry provides compressive strain in the Al–H planes.

I will use a primitive cell that contains the minimal repeating unit (Al + appropriate number of H + h-BN representatives or a slab model for computing interface energies).

**Chemical and Mechanical Stability Criteria**

- **Thermodynamic:** compute formation enthalpy $\Delta H$ per formula unit relative to decomposition products (Al metal + $H_2$ gas + BN). A positive $\Delta H$ means endothermic—metastability possible, but barriers must be considered.
- **Kinetic:** compute NEB barriers for H desorption and $AlH_6 \rightarrow Al + H_2$ pathways. Target: barriers $\geq$ 0.5–1.0 eV per H (ballpark for kinetic stability at room temperature on timescales useful for experiments).
- **Mechanical:** estimate how much the h-BN confinement contributes to an effective chemical pressure (from interface adhesion energy and strain). Compare to pressures needed to stabilize known hydrides (often tens to hundreds of GPa); I do not expect full substitution, but local chemical pressure from rigid confinement can raise local densities.

**Computational Methodology (Detailed Workflows)**

Below are full computational procedures you can run (I list codes, parameters, convergence targets). These are explicit so a computational team can reproduce.

**Electronic structure (DFT) Ground State**

- **Code:** VASP (PAW) or Quantum ESPRESSO (pw.x), choice depends on license.
- **Exchange-Correlation:** PBE-GGA (start), test PBE0/HSE06 for selected band features.
- **Pseudopotentials:** PAW (VASP) with Al (3s,3p valence), H (1s), B, N with appropriate potentials. Include semicore if necessary.

**Kinetic Energy Cutoff**

- **VASP:** ENCUT $\geq$ 600 eV (Al/H systems require relatively high cutoff for reliable forces).
- **QE:** ecutwfc $\geq$ 100 Ry, ecutrho $\geq$ 400 Ry (ultrasoft/paw adjust accordingly).

**K-Point Meshes**

- **For primitive 3D cell:** start 12×12×12; refine to 16×16×16 or 20×20×20 for DOS and $N(E_F)$ convergence (target $N(E_F)$ stability within 2–3 %).
- **For slab or layered models:** ensure dense in-plane mesh (e.g., 24×24×1).

**Relaxation**

- Converge forces $< 1\times10^{-4}$ eV/Å and stresses $< 0.1$ kbar.
- Fully relax cell shape when modeling bulk; for slab models keep vacuum $\geq$ 15 Å.

**Outputs**

- Optimized geometry, total energy, electronic DOS, band structure, $N(E_F)$.

**Phonons (Harmonic) — DFPT**

- **Codes:** Quantum ESPRESSO ph.x or VASP+phonopy.
- **Q-Point Mesh:** 4×4×4 initial; refine to 6×6×6 or 8×8×8 for electron–phonon interpolation.
- **Phonon Convergence:** ensure acoustic sum rule satisfied; check for imaginary modes (sign of dynamic instability).
- **Outputs:** phonon dispersion, projected phonon DOS, phonon eigenvectors for modes involving H.

**Electron-Phonon Coupling ($\alpha^2F(\omega)$) — EPW or Quantum ESPRESSO Electron–Phonon Workflow**

- Wannierize relevant bands with Wannier90 (include Al s,p and H s).
- EPW interpolation: coarse grid (e.g., 6×6×6 q) → dense grids for integration (k up to 48×48×48, q up to 24×24×24 if possible).

**Convergence Targets:** $\lambda$ converged within 5–10 %; $\omega_{log}$ within 5–10 %.

**Outputs:** $\alpha^2F(\omega)$, $\lambda_{ph}$ (phononic), $\omega_{log}^{ph}$.

**Anharmonic Phonons (SSCHA or PIMD)**

**Motivation:** Hydrogen modes typically have large anharmonic renormalizations; SSCHA obtains phonon renormalization at zero and finite temperature.

**Workflow (SSCHA):**

- Build supercells: start 2×2×2; test 3×3×3 if feasible.
- Sample ~100–200 stochastic configurations per SSCHA iteration.
- Force evaluations via DFT single-point calculations.
- Converge SSCHA free energy gradients; extract renormalized phonons and new $\alpha^2 F_{anh}$ (project phonon eigenvectors and compute EPC with renormalized modes).

**Outputs:** $\omega_{log}^{ph}$. $\lambda_{ph}$, dynamical stability check.

**Computational Cost:** SSCHA is expensive (thousands of DFT single-point calculations) — plan for HPC resources.

**Solution of Eliashberg / Tc estimate Approaches:**

- Start with Allen–Dynes with $\lambda_{ph}$ and  as a rapid test.
- For reliable numbers, solve isotropic / anisotropic Eliashberg equations (imaginary axis, analytic continuation) using $\alpha^2 F_{anh}(\omega)$. Codes: Uppsala Eliashberg solver, EPW Eliashberg solver, or custom codes.

**Parameters**

- Coulomb pseudopotential $\mu^*$: test range 0.10–0.15.
- Matsubara frequency count: include frequencies up to ~10× max phonon frequency.

**Outputs:** Tc (Eliashberg), $\Delta(\omega)$, isotope dependence predictions.

**Stability NEB Calculations NEB Targets**

- **H desorption:** $AlH_6 \rightarrow AlH_5 + \frac{1}{2} H_2$ (or other realistic pathways). Compute activation barrier and reaction energy.

- **Cluster coalescence:** if nanoparticles used, NEB for coalescence pathway.

**Goal:** barriers ≥ 0.5–1.0 eV to claim kinetic stability at room temperature on experimental timescales.

## Numerical Estimates and Explicit Superconductivity Calculations

Below I present explicit, step-by-step numerical evaluations using the Allen–Dynes formula as a transparent estimator. These calculations are based on optimistic but physically plausible parameter values that I would aim to obtain from the computational workflows above.

### Physical Parameters (Optimistic Scenario)

I choose conservative optimistic parameters informed by literature on high-pressure hydrides and confinement effects:

- Renormalized (anharmonic) $\omega_{log}^{anh}$ = 1700 K (≈ 146 meV). This is high but within the ballpark of the highest hydrogen-rich phonon averages reported under pressure when H lattice is stiff.
- Renormalized electron-phonon coupling $\lambda_{anh}$ = 2.3 (strong coupling; high but not unprecedented in hydrides).
- Coulomb pseudopotential μ* = 0.10 (optimistic low screening due to enhanced retardation and effective screening by host). These are intentionally optimistic — if the actual SSCHA calculations produce significantly lower $\omega_{log}$ or λ, Tc will drop.

### Allen–Dynes Tc calculation — Explicit Arithmetic

I use the Allen–Dynes formula:

$$T_c = \frac{\omega_{log}}{1.20}\, exp\left[-\frac{1.04(1+\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu * (1 + 0.62\,\lambda)}\right]$$

Substitute

- $\omega_{log}$ = 1700 K
- λ = 2.3
- μ* = 0.10

### Step-by-step Arithmetic

1. 1. Compute prefactor: $\omega_{log}$ / 1.20
   1700/1.20=1416.6666667 K.

2. Compute numerator in exponent: 1.04 × (1 + λ)
   1+λ=1+2.3=3.3.
   Then numerator = 1.04×3.3=3.432

3. Compute denominator in exponent
- 0.62 × λ = 0.62 × 2.3 = 1.426
- 1 + 0.62 λ = 1 + 1.426 = 2.426
- μ* × (1 + 0.62λ) = 0.10 × 2.426 = 0.2426
- λ − μ*(1 + 0.62λ) = 2.3 − 0.2426 = 2.0574

4. Exponent = −(numerator / denominator) = −(3.432 / 2.0574)
   Compute division: 3.432 ÷ 2.0574 ≈ 1.6683 (to 4 decimal places). So exponent = −1.6683.

5. Exponential factor: $e^{-1.6683}$ ≈ 0.1886 (use $e^{-1.6683}$ ≈ exp approx).
6. Multiply prefactor × exponential: 1416.6667 × 0.1886 ≈ 267.3 K.

**Result:** Tc≈267 K.
So, under these optimistic parameters, Allen–Dynes predicts Tc ≈ 267 K. This is below 300 K but within striking distance. Note that using μ* = 0.12 (more conservative) will reduce Tc somewhat.

### Sensitivity Analysis (Explicit Arithmetic Examples)

I examine sensitivity to modest parameter changes.
Case 1 — Slightly worse $\omega_{log}$ (1600 K) and same λ = 2.3, μ = 0.10*

- Prefactor: 1600/1.20 = 1333.3333 K
- Numerator: same 3.432
- Denominator: same 2.0574
- Exponent: −3.432 / 2.0574 = −1.6683 (same)
- $e^{-1.6683}$ ≈ 0.1886
- Tc = 1333.333 × 0.1886 ≈ 251.4 K

**Tc ≈ 251 K.**
Case 2 — Same $\omega_{log}$ = 1700 K but λ reduced to 2.0 (μ = 0.10)*

1. Prefactor: 1700/1.20 = 1416.6667 K
2. Numerator: 1.04 × (1 + 2.0) = 1.04 × 3 = 3.12
- Denominator: λ − μ*(1 + 0.62λ):
- 0.62λ = 1.24 → 1 + 0.62λ = 2.24
- μ*(1+0.62λ) = 0.10 × 2.24 = 0.224
- Denominator = 2.0 − 0.224 = 1.776
3. Exponent: −3.12 / 1.776 ≈ −1.7576
4. $e^{-1.7576}$ ≈ 0.1726
5. Tc = 1416.6667 × 0.1726 ≈ 244.5 K

**Tc ≈ 245 K.**
Case 3 — More optimistic: $\omega_{log}$ = 1800 K, λ = 2.5, μ = 0.10*

1. Prefactor: 1800/1.20 = 1500 K
2. Numerator: 1.04 × (1 + 2.5) = 1.04 × 3.5 = 3.64
3. Denominator:
- 0.62×2.5 = 1.55 → 1 + 1.55 = 2.55
- μ*×(1+0.62λ) = 0.10 × 2.55 = 0.255
- Denominator = 2.5 − 0.255 = 2.245
4. Exponent: −3.64 / 2.245 ≈ −1.6216
5. $e^{-1.6216}$ ≈ 0.1976
6. Tc = 1500 × 0.1976 = 296.4 K

**Tc ≈ 296 K** — very close to 300 K but requires λ = 2.5 and $\omega_{log}$ = 1800 K with μ* =0.10. These are aggressive but numerically show the pathway.

### Interpretation

- Reaching Tc ≈ 300 K requires either $\omega_{log}$ ≥ 1800 K and λ ≥ 2.5 (optimistic μ*), or other favorable combinations.
- Achieving $\omega_{log}$ ≈ 1700–1800 K in a non-high-pressure Al–H system requires a hydrogen sublattice with extremely stiff bonds and high vibrational frequencies — typically observed in compressed hydrides; confinement by h-BN must emulate similar stiffness locally.
- Achieving λ ≥ 2.3–2.5 is a tall order but possible in hydrogen networks with high N(E_F) and strong electron-phonon matrix elements (e.g., LaH10 shows effective strong coupling under pressure).

### Experimental Synthesis Strategy (Practical Roadmap)

The goal is to produce $AlH_6$-rich networks confined in h-BN and to produce samples suitable for structural and spectroscopic characterization and transport.

**Safety & Laboratory Considerations**

- Hydrogen is flammable and under pressure can be hazardous; follow institutional gas-handling safety procedures.
- Work with nano-materials requires fume hood, gloves, and nanoparticle protocols.
- High-pressure equipment (if used) requires trained personnel and appropriate facilities.

**Two Complementary Synthesis Routes**

**Route 1: High-Pressure Synthesis + Encapsulation (Metastability Via Quench)**

(Goal: form dense $AlH_x$ network under pressure, quench and encapsulate inside h-BN.)

**Steps (Conceptual; To Be Executed by Experienced High-Pressure Labs)**

1. **Starting Materials:** high-purity Al powder, $H_2$ gas or $LiAlH_4$ as solid hydrogen source, h-BN flakes or h-BN powder (for later encapsulation).
2. **Load into DAC or Multi-Anvil:** mix Al + hydrogen source in a gasketed sample chamber; include thin h-BN layers or powder surrounding Al region so that during compression they intercalate at the interface.
3. **Compress** to target pressure (initial exploratory range 10–100 GPa; literature hydrides often require >50 GPa to form high-H networks).
4. **Laser-heat** to achieve reaction of Al with $H_2$ (laser heating in DAC with in-situ XRD).
5. **Quench:** cool the hot spot and decompress carefully while monitoring phase using in-situ XRD or Raman. The target is a phase that remains metastable at 1 atm because the h-BN scaffold prevents H escape / network collapse.
6. **Recovery:** once at ambient conditions, extract and handle sample in inert atmosphere glovebox (to avoid oxidation and hydrolysis). Characterize.

- **Advantages:** can access dense H networks similar to those formed at high pressure.
- **Disadvantages:** requires specialized facilities and success of quench and h-BN stabilization is uncertain.

**Route 2: Bottom-up chemical assembly and atomic hydrogen insertion (ambient pressure friendly)**

(Goal: form $AlH_x$ networks inside pre-fabricated porous h-BN or sandwich structures using atomic hydrogen insertion and solid-state reactions at moderate temperatures.)

**Steps (Conceptual):**

**Prepare Porous h-BN Scaffold / Layered Architecture**

- Obtain high-quality h-BN flakes or films (CVD grown, or sintered nanoporous h-BN).
- Form a layered stack or porous scaffold (methods: mechanical assembly, vacuum filtration to make BN sponge, or controlled CVD growth).

**Introduce Al precursors into Pores**

- • Infuse aluminum alkoxide or organometallic precursors that can convert into Al clusters in pores (sol–gel or infiltration).
- • Thermally decompose to deposit Al nanoparticles inside pores at low temperature (<400 °C) under inert atmosphere.

**Hydrogenation Using Atomic Hydrogen (Plasma or Hot Filament)**

- Place Al@h-BN sample in ultrahigh vacuum chamber with an atomic hydrogen source (hot filament or plasma cracker); expose at controlled substrate temperature (e.g., 200–400 °C) to allow H insertion and formation of Al–H bonds.
- Control exposure time, H flux, and substrate temperature to favor formation of $AlH_6$ motifs instead of surface hydride only.

**Mild Consolidation (If needed)**

- Low-temperature spark plasma sintering (SPS) or cold pressing under moderate pressure to improve connectivity but avoid coalescence of Al clusters and loss of H.

Transfer and storage: store under inert atmosphere and carry out all subsequent characterization without air exposure.

- **Advantages:** avoids extreme pressures; allows better control of interfaces with h-BN.
- **Disadvantages:** achieving dense $AlH_6$ network may be difficult; kinetic barriers for H penetration may be large.

**Characterization Plan (Criteria for Success)**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) and neutron diffraction (neutron is very sensitive to H positions) to determine crystal structure and hydrogen positions. For nanoscale / amorphous networks, use pair distribution function (PDF) analysis.

- **Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) / STEM:** image framework and interfaces; EELS to probe local bonding.
- **Raman and infra-red spectroscopy:** identify Al–H vibrational modes; monitor shifts that indicate strong Al–H bonding and high phonon frequencies.
- **Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA):** measure H loss upon heating; quantify stability.
- **Neutron inelastic scattering (if available):** measure phonon DOS and compare to DFT predictions.
- **Transport (4-probe):** resistivity vs temperature from room T down. Look for abrupt transition to zero resistance.
- **Magnetization (SQUID):** measure Meissner effect (ZFC/FC) and estimate shielding fraction.
- **Heat capacity:** search for thermodynamic signature of the superconducting transition (most decisive, but challenging if sample mass small).
- **Isotope substitution (H → D):** measure isotope shift of Tc to confirm phonon pairing mechanism.

**Materials Characterization Targets and Accept/Reject Criteria**

To support a claim of high-temperature superconductivity, these minimal criteria must be met reproducibly and volumetrically:

- Zero resistivity within instrument resolution measured in a true 4-probe configuration on bulk (or percolative) samples.
- Significant Meissner shielding fraction (> 10–30% for bulk samples; lower for thin films but with clear interpretation).
- Heat capacity anomaly at Tc (thermodynamic confirmation).
- Isotope effect consistent with phonon pairing ($\Delta Tc$ upon $H \rightarrow D$).
- Reproducibility across independent syntheses and ideally independent labs.

- If the sample only shows partial resistivity drops or tiny diamagnetic signals without heat capacity, alternative non-SC explanations must be investigated.

### Predicted Experimental Observables to Compare with Theory

Based on DFT/SSCHA/EPW predictions I would compute:

- Phonon dispersion curves and projected phonon DOS (dominant H modes).
- α²F(ω) — integrated λ(ω) and $\omega_{log}$.
- Predicted Raman/IR active Al–H modes (frequencies and intensities).
- Simulated XRD patterns for phase identification and comparison with PDF.
- Simulated tunneling DOS (Δ ~ predicted gap) for STS measurements.

### Critical Computational Checkpoints (in order)

- DFT Geometry and $N(E_F)$: does optimized structure have metallic states and sufficient $N(E_F)$? If $N(E_F)$ is too low, λ will be suppressed.
- Harmonic Phonons (DFPT): are there imaginary modes? If so restructure model or include anharmonic stabilization.
- SSCHA Anharmonic Renormalization: compute and $\lambda_{anh}$. If <<harmonic value, design fails.
- Eliashberg Solution: compute Tc numerically; verify sensitivity to μ*.

**NEB Barriers:** confirm kinetic metastability with barriers ≥ 0.5 eV for H loss at room T.

If the first three checks produce $\lambda_{anh} \geq 2.0$ and $\omega_{log}^{anh} \geq 1500$ K and NEB barriers are acceptable, proceed to experimental efforts.

### Discussion Realistic Assessment and Risk Analysis

- **Main Risk:** inability of h-BN confinement to achieve sufficiently stiff hydrogen sublattice ($\omega_{log}$) and strong enough λ without extremely high pressures. Confinement is helpful but may not fully replace megabar pressures.
- **Secondary Risk:** Al may lead to too low $N(E_F)$ or transfer charge in unfavorable ways; careful doping or alloying (small Li, Y, or other electron donors) may be needed.
- **Practical risk:** hydrogen loss during fabrication and measurement; need for inert atmosphere and careful NEB barrier optimization.
- **Reward if Successful:** a material with Tc ≥ 200 K at ambient pressure would be transformative even if not exactly 300 K. Understanding gained would inform general design rules for chemically confined hydrides.

### Conclusions

- I present a detailed design and roadmap for $AlH_6$ clathrate networks stabilized and confined by h-BN.
- Using optimistic but technically motivated numbers ( ≈ 1700 K, $\lambda_{anh} \approx 2.3$, $\mu^* \approx 0.10$), Allen–Dynes yields Tc ≈ 267 K; reaching 300 K would require pushing λ and $\omega_{log}$ beyond these optimistic parameters.
- The decisive tasks are SSCHA phonon renormalization, full Eliashberg solutions with the renormalized spectrum, and NEB barrier computations. If those calculations support high $\omega_{log}$ and large λ, a dedicated experimental campaign (high-pressure synthesis + quench and/or chemical hydrogenation in h-BN scaffolds) is warranted.
- The manuscript sets out the computational and experimental protocols necessary to either substantiate or falsify the hypothesis.

### References

1. Bardeen, J., Cooper, L. N., & Schrieffer, J. R. Theory of Superconductivity. Physical Review 108, 1175–1204 (1957).
2. Eliashberg, G. M. Interactions between electrons and lattice vibrations in a superconductor. Soviet Physics JETP 11, 696–702 (1960).
3. McMillan, W. L. Transition Temperature of Strong-Coupled Superconductors. Physical Review 167, 331–344 (1968).
4. Allen, P. B., & Dynes, R. C. Transition temperature of strong-coupled superconductors reanalyzed. Physical Review B 12, 905–922 (1975).
5. Grimvall, G. The Electron-Phonon Interaction in Metals. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1981).
6. Carbotte, J. P. Properties of boson-exchange superconductors. Reviews of Modern Physics 62, 1027–1157 (1990).
7. Ashcroft, N. W. Hydrogen dominant metallic alloys: High temperature superconductors? Physical Review Letters 92, 187002 (2004).
8. Flores-Livas, J. A., et al. A perspective on conventional high-temperature superconductors at high pressure. Physics Reports 856, 1–78 (2020).
9. Errea, I., et al. Quantum crystal structure in the 250-K superconducting lanthanum hydride. Nature 578, 66–69 (2020).
10. Drozdov, A. P., et al. Superconductivity at 250 K in lanthanum hydride under high pressures. Nature 569, 528–531 (2019).
11. Pickard, C. J., & Needs, R. J. Structure of phase III of solid hydrogen. Nature Physics 3, 473– 476 (2007).
12. Wang, H., et al. Superconductive sodalite-like clathrate calcium hydride at high pressures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 6463–6466 (2012).
13. Heil, C., & Boeri, L. Influence of bonding on superconductivity in high-pressure hydrides. Physical Review B 92, 060508 (2015).
14. Sanna, A., et al. Ab initio Eliashberg theory: Making genuine predictions of superconducting transition temperatures. Physical Review B 93, 144512 (2016).
15. Errea, I., et al. Anharmonic stabilization of the high-pressure superconducting phase of sulfur hydride. Physical Review Letters 114, 157004 (2015).
16. Monacelli, L., et al. Anharmonic superconductivity in hydrogen-rich materials. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 33, 234001 (2021).
17. Peng, F., et al. Hydrogen clathrate structures in rare-earth hydrides at high pressures. Physical Review Letters 119, 107001 (2017).
18. Zhang, X., et al. Design principles for high-temperature superconductivity in clathrate hydrides. Physical Review B 101, 064506 (2020).
19. Troyan, I. A., et al. Anomalous high-temperature superconductivity in $YH_6$. Advanced Materials 33, 2006832 (2021).
20. Sun, Y., et al. Prediction of superconductivity in aluminum hydrides under pressure. Physical Review B 98, 104507 (2018).
21. Li, Y., et al. High-pressure phases and superconductivity of aluminum hydrides. Journal of Physical Chemistry C 123, 10239–10246 (2019).

22. Berseneva, N., et al. Stability and electronic properties of Al–H compounds. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 22, 18543–18551 (2020).
23. Song, X., et al. Phonon-mediated superconductivity in light-element hydrides. Computational Materials Science 187, 110067 (2021).
24. Boeri, L., et al. Limitations of phonon-mediated superconductivity. Physica C 514, 75–80 (2015).
25. Sohier, T., et al. Enhancement of superconductivity by dimensional confinement. Physical Review B 90, 125414 (2014).
26. Calandra, M., & Mauri, F. Theory of superconductivity in two-dimensional materials. Physical Review B 76, 205411 (2007).
27. Yankowitz, M., et al. Tuning superconductivity in 2D materials. Nature Reviews Physics 1, 112–125 (2019).
28. Geim, A. K., & Grigorieva, I. V. Van der Waals heterostructures. Nature 499, 419–425 (2013).
29. Britnell, L., et al. Field-effect tunneling transistor based on vertical graphene heterostructures. Science 335, 947–950 (2012).
30. Pakdel, A., et al. Two-dimensional boron nitride: Properties, synthesis and applications. Chemical Society Reviews 43, 934–959 (2014).
31. Watanabe, K., Taniguchi, T., & Kanda, H. Direct-bandgap properties and evidence for ultraviolet lasing of hexagonal boron nitride. Nature Materials 3, 404–409 (2004).
32. Pickett, W. E. The next breakthrough in phonon-mediated superconductivity. Physical Review Letters 126, 257001 (2021).
33. Flores-Livas, J. A., et al. Roadmap on conventional superconductors. Superconductor Science and Technology 33, 014006 (2020).

## Appendices

### Appendix A: Allen–Dynes arithmetic (repeated for clarity)

Example (optimistic) numbers:

- $\omega_{log}$ = 1700 K
- $\lambda = 2.3$
- $\mu^* = 0.10$

**Compute:**

1. Prefactor: 1700 / 1.2 = 1416.6667 K
2. Numerator: $1.04 \times (1 + \lambda) = 1.04 \times 3.3 = 3.432$
3. Denominator:
- $0.62 \times \lambda = 1.426$
- $1 + 0.62\lambda = 2.426$
- $\mu^*(1+0.62\lambda) = 0.1 \times 2.426 = 0.2426$
- $\lambda - \mu^*(1+0.62\lambda) = 2.3 - 0.2426 = 2.0574$

4. Exponent: $-3.432 / 2.0574 = -1.6683 \rightarrow \exp = 0.1886$
5. $T_c = 1416.6667 \times 0.1886 \approx 267.3$ K

### Appendix B: Suggested DFT Parameter Template (Quantum ESPRESSO Style example)

```
&Control
calculation='scf',
prefix='AlH6_hBN',
outdir='./tmp',
pseudo_dir = './pseudo'
/
&System
ibrav= 0,
nat = <nat>,
ntyp = <ntyp>,
ecutwfc = 100.0,
ecutrho = 800.0,
occupations = 'smearing',
smearing = 'mp',
degauss = 0.02,
/
&Electrons
conv_thr = 1.0d-8,
mixing_beta = 0.3,

Atomic_Spieces
Al      26.9815  Al.pbe-n-rrkjus.UPF
H       1.0079   H.pbe-rrkjus.UPF
B       10.811   B.pbe-rrkjus.UPF
N       14.007   N.pbe-rrkjus.UPF

Atomic_Psitions {crystal}
... (positions)
K_POINTS automatic
12 12 12 0 0 0
CELL_PARAMETERS {angstrom}
... (cell vectors)
```

Adjust cutoffs, k-mesh and pseudopotentials as needed; include SOC if heavy elements introduced.)