Research Article

Crystal Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Applications

The Convergence of Quantum Computing and AI in Defence: Shaping the Future of Global Security

Siddarth Laxminarayanan[1, 2, 3*]

[1](AM1834250) Corporate Member, Institution of Engineers India

[2]Developer - Platform Services | Information Technology and Solutions

[3]SoftwareONE India Private Limited

Corresponding Author: Siddarth Laxminarayanan, (AM1834250) Corporate Member, Institution of Engineers India. https://orcid.org/0009-0000-4065-3370

Cite: S. Laxminarayanan, "The Convergence of Quantum Computing and AI in Defence: Shaping the Future of Global Security," Crystal Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Applications, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 01–06, 2025.

Received: April 29, 2025; **Accepted:** May 27, 2025; **Published:** June 05, 2025

Abstract

Quantum Computing (QC) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are emerging technologies poised to significantly transform global defense strategies. With exceptional computational capabili-ties, Quantum Computing has profound implications for military cryptography and secure communications, potentially altering national security paradigms. Simultaneously, Artificial Intelligence contributes advanced predictive analytics, strategic modeling, and autonomous system functionalities, enhancing real-time intelligence and operational effectiveness in mili-tary contexts. This convergence between QC and AI offers unprecedented opportunities for strengthening defense systems; however, it also introduces critical challenges related to cyber-security vulnerabilities, ethical considerations in autonomous military operations, and complex geopolitical tensions. Utilizing scenario planning, this article examines three plausible future scenarios: the High-Integration scenario, depicting rapid and comprehensive incorporation of QC and AI into military systems; the Regulated-Adoption scenario, emphasizing international regulations and collaborative oversight mechanisms; and the Ethical Constraints scenario, highlighting stringent ethical guidelines limiting certain military applications. Each scenario illustrates distinct shifts in military capabilities, cybersecurity posture, and the dynamics of autonomous system deployment, while emphasizing the necessity for robust governance frameworks. Moreover, the study underscores the essential role of international collaboration and comprehensive ethical guidelines in responsibly navigating the potential benefits and in-herent risks associated with these advanced technologies. Ultimately, managing the integra-tion of Quantum Computing and Artificial Intelligence within defense sectors effectively requires proactive global cooperation, strategic regulatory frameworks, and ethical oversight to safeguard international security and stability.

Keywords

Quantum Computing, Artificial Intelligence, Governance, Ethics, Defence Technology, Mili-tary Strategy, Global Security, Cryptography, Autonomous Systems

Introduction

Quantum Computing (QC) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are rapidly advancing technologi-cal domains that are poised to transform global defence strategies and reshape military op-era-tions. Each offers distinct and powerful capabilities: QC excels in solving complex computa-tional problems, particularly in areas such as cryptography and secure communications, while AI thrives in pattern recognition, predictive analytics, and autonomous decision-making. When combined, their integration has the potential to redefine modern warfare, shift geopolit-ical balances, and influence international security architectures.

Quantum Computing operates on the principles of quantum mechanics—such as superposition and entanglement—enabling it to process vast datasets and perform computations at speeds far surpassing classical systems. This capability is especially crucial in military applications where quantum key distribution (QKD) can provide unbreakable encryption for secure com-munication. Beyond cryptography, QC supports logistical optimization, stealth detection, and the simulation of battlefield scenarios, offering new layers of strategic and tactical superiority.

Artificial Intelligence, on the other hand, contributes by augmenting autonomous platforms, accelerating decision-making, and improving situational awareness. AI-driven systems enable real-time data analysis, rapid response to threats, and optimization of combat operations. Mili-tary applications of AI include autonomous drones, cyber-defence orchestration, and intelli-gence surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) systems, which enhance both precision and oper-ational efficiency while minimizing human risk. Nevertheless, these systems raise critical ethi-cal challenges, especially concerning the delegation of lethal decisions to machines and the absence of clear accountability structures.

The convergence of QC and AI creates a new paradigm in defence by blending quantum-enhanced processing with AI-led strategic computation. This integration provides unparal-leled advantages in real-time intelligence analysis, autonomous systems coordination, and predictive threat modeling. However, it also magnifies potential risks—especially in cyberse-curity, where quantum technologies threaten to break conventional encryption standards, and in ethical terms, where autonomous weapons systems may act without human intervention or oversight. These dynamics underscore the urgent need for global governance frameworks ca-pable of managing the risks and harnessing the potential of these technologies.

The Council of Europe's 2024 Framework Convention on Artificial Intelligence, Human Rights, Democracy and the Rule of Law represents a significant step toward international consensus on ethical AI usage. However, its focus is primarily civilian, leaving critical de-fence-specific gaps, particularly concerning quantum technologies and autonomous military systems. These omissions highlight the pressing need for comprehensive, enforceable frame-works that account for the unique demands of military applications.

In summary, the convergence of QC and AI in defence presents both revolutionary opportu-nities and complex ethical, legal, and strategic challenges. This paper employs scenario plan-ning to explore the implications of this convergence under varying conditions of regulation, adoption, and international cooperation. By examining these trajectories, it aims to provide insights into how global stakeholders can align technological progress with responsible gov-ernance to preserve international peace and security.

Methodology

To explore the implications of Quantum Computing (QC) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) convergence in defence, this study employs a foresight-based scenario planning methodolo-gy—a strategic tool commonly used in defence policy and strategic analysis to explore uncer-tain futures. This qualitative approach constructs plausible outcomes based on current techno-logical trends, ethical concerns, regulatory developments, and socio-political variables. It is particularly useful in assessing QC and AI, where innovation often outpaces policy and ethi-cal governance.

Scenario planning enables military strategists to examine divergent pathways—ranging from unregulated militarization to cooperative governance—by evaluating their effects on battle-field operations, cybersecurity, autonomous deployments, and geopolitical parity. Three pri-mary scenarios have been constructed for this study:

- **High-Integration Scenario:** This scenario depicts QC and AI being rapidly embedded into command, control, communications, and combat frameworks, delivering strategic dominance to early adopters.
- **Regulated-Adoption Scenario:** In this scenario, adoption is shaped by international instru-ments such as the Council of Europe's 2024 Framework on AI, emphasizing transparency, legal compliance, and ethical guardrails.
- **Ethical Constraints Scenario:** This scenario highlights a situation where military deployment is constrained due to humanitarian, legal, and societal resistance, particularly around lethal au-tonomous systems and surveillance capabilities.

These scenarios incorporate empirical insights from defence publications, quantum-AI ethics scholarship, and military doctrine assessments. This approach enables a structured evaluation of the opportunities and governance challenges confronting defence institutions as they inte-grate QC-AI technologies. The scenario narratives, detailed below, reflect varying levels of integration, regulation, and ethical constraint in military quantum-AI convergence, developed from technological evolution patterns, international security governance models, and ethical risk projections.

High-Integration Scenario: Quantum-AI Dominance

In this scenario, rapid innovation and prioritization drive deep integration of QC and AI across defence systems. Militaries utilize quantum key distribution (QKD) for ultra-secure communications and deploy AI-powered command and control systems to conduct real-time battlefield analysis and threat response. Quantum machine learning (QML) powers autono-mous systems—including drones, missile defence, and logistics platforms—which operate independently with high precision.

However, this scenario also introduces significant strategic risks. QC's capacity to decrypt legacy encryption can lead to a global cybersecurity imbalance. Meanwhile, autonomous de-ci-sion-making in lethal scenarios raises critical concerns under international humanitarian law (IHL) regarding accountability and proportionality. This scenario underscores the critical need for post-quantum encryption, human oversight, and international regulatory norms to avoid destabilization.

Regulated-Adoption Scenario: Multilateral Ethics and Control

Here, the adoption of QC and AI proceeds with ethical and legal guidance under multilateral frameworks like the Council of Europe's 2024 Convention on AI. Military AI and QC sys-tems are subjected to auditability, explainability standards, and international verification mechanisms. Human-in-the-loop (HITL) protocols and legal oversight are mandatory in all autonomous deployments. QC is limited to non-offensive roles such as encrypted communica-tion and predictive modeling.

Confidence-building measures—such as shared AI evaluation hubs, NATO-led certification, and quantum algorithm sharing—help reduce mistrust and foster transparency. However, en-forcing global compliance remains a challenge, as emerging powers may resist restrictions, seeking parity through asymmetrical deployments.

Ethical Constraints Scenario: Human-Centric Warfare

This scenario reflects a landscape shaped by global ethical norms and legal prohibitions. Pub-lic resistance, humanitarian campaigns, and civil society activism limit the use of QC and AI in military applications. QC is confined to defensive roles such as satellite-based encryption and secure communications. Offensive uses—like quantum-based kinetic targeting or surveil-lance—are restricted under international treaties.

AI in this model is relegated to advisory roles, with final decisions retained by human opera-tors. Ethical principles such as proportionality, civilian protection, and human dignity guide all deployment. Legal interpretations of autonomous systems under IHL evolve, leading to new treaty frameworks. Yet, adversaries operating outside these norms may exploit the limitations imposed by this ethical posture.

Comparative Insights

Each scenario reveals that governance is the pivotal variable in shaping how QC-AI affects future conflict. The High-Integration model risks innovation outpacing accountability, poten-tially leading to unintended escalation. The Regulated-Adoption and Ethical Constraints pathways illustrate how international cooperation and principled frameworks can harness technological advantage without compromising moral or legal standards. In practice, defence trajectories may begin with High-Integration but gradually evolve towards governance as in-cidents, alliances, and diplomatic norms demand accountability. Scenario planning thus ena-bles strategic foresight and adaptive planning for stakeholders preparing for the dual-edged future of AI and quantum warfare.

Results

The integration of Quantum Computing (QC) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) into defence infrastructures reveals transformative shifts in military capabilities, cybersecurity protocols, operational workflows, and international power dynamics. Whether unregulated, collabora-tively governed, or ethically constrained, the trajectory of adoption fundamentally alters global security postures. The scenarios explored in this study illustrate the far-reaching impli-cations of QC-AI convergence, shaped by regulatory oversight, adoption velocity, and inter-national cooperation.

Strategic Military Capabilities

Across all scenarios, QC and AI enhance both traditional and next-generation military capa-bilities.

In the High-Integration scenario, quantum key distribution (QKD) and post-quantum encryp-tion mechanisms secure sensitive communications against interception, reinforcing national cyber defence infrastructures. Simultaneously, AI systems powered by quantum machine learning (QML) accelerate real-time analysis of battlefield data, improving threat detection and tactical responsiveness. Autonomous drones and robotic platforms conduct surveillance, reconnaissance, and logistics with minimal human oversight. These AI-QC-enabled systems dynamically adapt to combat conditions. QC further supports stealth detection, radar optimi-zation, and high-resolution simulation for mission rehearsal and operational forecasting.

In the Regulated-Adoption scenario, the same capabilities are governed by multilateral con-straints. Autonomous weapons operate under human-in-the-loop (HITL) control, ensuring accountability for kinetic actions. QC is confined to secure communications and simulations under diplomatic oversight.

The Ethical Constraints scenario sets strict limitations on military AI and QC use. AI is re-stricted to decision-support roles, and QC is used solely for defensive communications. This model emphasizes full adherence to international humanitarian law (IHL), prioritizing ethics over technological advantage.

Cybersecurity Implications

Cybersecurity is fundamentally reshaped by QC-AI convergence.

In the High-Integration scenario, QKD ensures near-impenetrable communication channels, while QC's capacity to decrypt RSA and ECC encryption threatens legacy infrastructures. This duality fuels a quantum arms race as nations rush to implement post-quantum encryption. AI contributes through real-time anomaly detection, patching, and defence system orchestra-tion. However, opaque AI models remain vulnerable to adversarial manipulation, such as spoofed input and misclassification. Without transparency, such vulnerabilities may result in mission failure or escalation.

In the Regulated-Adoption scenario, encryption and AI standards are aligned through inter-national frameworks. Explain ability, auditability, and continuous evaluation are enforced across allied systems. Interoperability is strengthened through NATO-led encryption proto-cols and shared AI testing environments.

In contrast, the Ethical Constraints model limits exposure by delaying deployment of high-risk systems. While it reduces vulnerability to certain AI and QC threats, it risks strategic dis-advantages if adversaries embrace unrestricted capabilities.

Operational Decision-Making

QC-AI convergence radically reshapes command workflows.

In the High-Integration scenario, autonomous platforms use live data to execute precision strikes, reroute logistics, and maintain operational superiority. Quantum-enhanced optimiza-tion compresses decision cycles, especially in dynamic urban or multi-domain environments. This speed brings new risks—automation errors may escalate conflict if systems misinterpret civilian presence or respond to incomplete intelligence.

In the Regulated-Adoption model, human command authority is preserved. AI recommenda-tions require human validation, and actions are traceable through embedded audit logs.

Under the Ethical Constraints scenario, human agency is non-negotiable. AI supports plan-ning and logistics but does not assume operational command. This ensures legal compliance and maintains strategic trust.

Shifts in Global Power Dynamics

QC-AI integration reshapes geopolitical hierarchies.

In the High-Integration scenario, early adopters dominate via superior encryption, real-time intelligence, and autonomous deterrence systems. These advantages can be leveraged to exert influence or deter rivals through strategic ambiguity.

The Regulated-Adoption scenario fosters global equilibrium. Export controls, verification agreements, and standardized AI testing reduce technological gaps and encourage coopera-tion. Transparency mitigates escalation risks and supports multilateral engagement.

The Ethical Constraints scenario relies on diplomatic leadership. States that promote AI and QC norms lead through technology-sharing and ethical diplomacy. However, strategic imbal-ances may emerge if adversaries exploit their lack of restraint.

QC-AI integration enhances operational speed, accuracy, and resilience across military do-mains. But these benefits are inseparable from emerging risks—cybersecurity vulnerabilities, automated escalation, and geopolitical asymmetry. Whether these technologies stabilize or destabilize global security will depend on how effectively nations embed ethical principles, regulatory frameworks, and cooperative safeguards into their strategic ecosystems.

Discussion

The convergence of Quantum Computing (QC) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) is redefining the structure, strategy, and ethical framework of modern defence. This section synthesizes insights from scenario planning and results, highlighting technological advancements, cyber-security risks, ethical concerns, and governance challenges. It also critically evaluates the ade-quacy of existing international frameworks—particularly the Council of Europe's 2024 Framework Convention on AI—in addressing emerging threats.

Strategic Transformation through Quantum-AI Convergence

QC and AI are individually transformative technologies—QC enables secure communica-tions, cryptographic resilience, and advanced simulations, while AI enhances predictive mod-eling, autonomous operations, and strategic decision-making. Their convergence generates quantum-enhanced machine learning systems capable of processing battlefield data with un-precedented speed and precision. In high-tempo conflicts, these systems can simulate thou-sands of tactical scenarios and recommend optimal responses in real time. However, this ac-celeration can lead to over-reliance on automation, especially in contexts where human over-sight is minimal, such as autonomous targeting. As autonomous drones, surveillance networks, and robotic combat units become increasingly operational, robust ethical oversight and legal accountability will be vital to prevent unintended escalation and human rights violations.

Cybersecurity Paradigm Shift and Strategic Instability

Quantum key distribution (QKD) offers military-grade encryption advantages, but quantum systems also threaten to undermine classical encryption standards such as RSA and ECC. This dual-use potential of QC introduces asymmetry in cyber capabilities. States that achieve quantum decryption capabilities first could exploit this edge, engaging in pre-emptive cyber sabotage or espionage. Meanwhile, less-equipped nations become more vulnerable to systemic cyber intrusions and infrastructure compromise. Similarly, AI systems remain prone to adver-sarial attacks—where inputs are subtly manipulated to cause system misclassification or misi-dentification of targets. In high-risk scenarios, such failures could have lethal consequences. The opacity of AI ("black-box models") further complicates accountability, particularly in autonomous warfare systems.

Ethics and Human Oversight

Integrating QC and AI into autonomous weapons presents urgent legal and ethical questions. Fully autonomous systems challenge foundational principles of international humanitarian law (IHL)—such as distinction, proportionality, and accountability. Although AI offers tactical benefits—faster decisions and improved targeting—it lacks moral reasoning and contextual judgment. Human-in-the-loop (HITL) and human-on-the-loop (HOTL) models are essential for maintaining ethical compliance. Quantum acceleration complicates these frameworks fur-ther, potentially enabling AI to make decisions faster than human operators can validate them. The High-Integration scenario illustrates the dangers of unchecked autonomy, while the Reg-ulated-Adoption scenario embeds ethical constraints within system design and governance structures.

Governance and the Gaps in the Council of Europe's 2024 Framework

The 2024 Council of Europe Framework Convention on AI is a landmark policy focused on AI accountability, transparency, and rights protection. However, it remains civilian-oriented, lacking defence-specific protocols. This study identifies several critical gaps:

- **Gap 1:** Absence of Military-Specific Governance: The convention does not cover criti-cal defence domains such as autonomous weapons, AI-led targeting, or quantum-enhanced warfare, requiring military-specific legal frameworks.
- **Gap 2:** Lack of Quantum Computing Coverage: QC's profound implications—including cryptographic disruption and dual-use potential—are not addressed. This leaves a void in quantum cybersecurity regulation, export controls, and quantum weapon monitoring.
- **Gap 3:** Weak Enforcement Architecture: As a voluntary framework, the Convention lacks robust enforcement mechanisms. Compliance is left to national discretion, un-dermining consistency—especially in geopolitically tense contexts.

To address these gaps, expanded multilateral cooperation—involving NATO, the United Na-tions, and emerging quantum powers—is essential to build robust, enforceable defence gov-ernance.

Global Power Dynamics and Risk of Technological Asymmetry

QC-AI convergence represents a shift in strategic balance. Early adopters—those mastering quantum-encrypted communications and autonomous deterrence—will command dispropor-tionate power. This may incite an algorithmic arms race, destabilizing traditional deterrence models and encouraging pre-emptive strikes. The Ethical Constraints scenario counters this trajectory through principled restraint and diplomatic leadership. Yet, without universal norms, non-compliant states or rogue actors may exploit these limitations for strategic gain. International trust-building measures—such as shared testing environments, quantum encryp-tion audits, and AI transparency protocols—are critical to deterring destabilization and pro-moting responsible technological adoption.

Multilateral Strategy for Responsible Integration

A successful strategy for navigating the QC-AI convergence must synthesize the strengths of all three scenarios:

- **High-Integration:** Accelerate quantum and AI capability development to avoid falling behind in a technologically advanced landscape.
- **Regulated-Adoption:** Institutionalize explainability, accountability, and ethical design into military AI and QC systems.
- **Ethical Constraints:** Uphold international humanitarian principles and embed human control and decision-making authority in all critical military applications.

To operationalize this vision, a Global Defence AI and Quantum Accord (GDAQA)—modelled after the Geneva Conventions—could define acceptable uses of AI and QC, require verification protocols, and ensure shared oversight of these technologies.

Conclusion

The convergence of Quantum Computing (QC) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) is set to fun-damentally reshape the global defence architecture. Their combined strengths—QC's unparal-leled processing power and AI's adaptive cognitive capacity—enable breakthroughs in secure communications, tactical automation, strategic forecasting, and autonomous warfare. The sce-nario analysis presented in this study—High-Integration, Regulated-Adoption, and Ethical Constraints—demonstrates that the trajectory of adoption will determine not only military performance but also international stability and ethical accountability.

In the High-Integration scenario, rapid technological deployment delivers substantial tactical advantages, with pioneering nations dominating in encrypted communications, cyber opera-tions, precision targeting, and real-time battlefield management. However, these gains come with considerable risks. Without stringent governance, the autonomy of such systems could result in escalation, unintended engagements, and strategic vulnerabilities—particularly through quantum decryption of classical infrastructure. This path, if pursued without multilat-eral regulation, threatens to deepen global asymmetries and provoke instability.

The Regulated-Adoption scenario offers a more balanced vision, built on collaborative gov-ernance and shared responsibility. Informed by instruments like the Council of Europe's 2024 Framework Convention on AI, this model prioritizes transparency, mutual accountability, and legal compliance. AI systems are auditable, and QC applications are developed under strict controls, limiting misuse. Though such a model may slow rapid advancement, it enhances trust, promotes parity, and ensures adherence to international humanitarian law.

Conversely, the Ethical Constraints scenario represents a normative approach that strictly lim-its the deployment of autonomous and quantum military technologies. It emphasizes human-centric warfare, banning or restricting lethal autonomy and offensive quantum applications to maintain moral authority and prevent misuse. This model strengthens international norms and reinforces human rights, though it may leave compliant states exposed to adversaries uncon-strained by similar ethical frameworks.

Across all three pathways, a consistent theme emerges: the urgent need for comprehensive governance frameworks that integrate technical innovation with ethical foresight and strategic diplomacy. The Council of Europe's framework provides a useful foundation but lacks de-fence-specific provisions. Global actors must address these gaps by developing enforceable treaties on autonomous warfare, quantum cybersecurity, and algorithmic accountability.

While the convergence of QC and AI promises revolutionary advances in defence capabili-ties, their unchecked militarization could heighten global tensions and deepen ethical dilem-mas. The future of international security will depend not solely on which nation leads techno-logically, but on how responsibly and cooperatively these technologies are governed. Embed-ding innovation within global frameworks of trust, ethics, and law is essential to ensuring that the evolution of warfare aligns with shared human values and long-term peace.

Future Work

Future research should focus on developing concrete proposals for the Global Defence AI and Quantum Accord (GDAQA), including specific legal language, verification mechanisms, and dispute resolution processes. Further quantitative analysis and simulations could model the economic and military impact of different adoption rates and governance structures. Ad-ditionally, cross-cultural studies on ethical perceptions of autonomous systems are needed to inform truly global governance frameworks. The technical feasibility and challenges of im-plementing post-quantum encryption across diverse military systems also warrant in-depth investigation.

References

1. A. Kes, M. Almazrooie, A. Samsudin and T. F. Al-Somani, "A Compact Quantum Reversible Circuit for Simplified Boolean Function Realization," in Future of Information and Commu-nication Conference, Vancouver, Canada, 2021.
2. Jyothi.A.P, A. Shankar, A. J. R. Narayan, K. Bhavya, S. S. M. S. Reddy and Y. A, "AI Methodologies in Upcoming Modern Warfare Systems," in IEEE International Conference on Current Development in Engineering and Technology (CCET), Bhopal, 2022.
3. R. G. Berendsen, "The Weaponization of Quantum Mechanics: Quantum Technology in Fu-ture Warfare.," US Army School of Advanced Military Studies, Fort Leavenworth, 2019.
4. X. Cheng, D. Liu, F. Huang and W. Zhan, "Application Research of Quantum Cryptography Communication Technology," in 2nd International Conference on 3D Immersion, Interaction and Multi-sensory Experiences (ICDIIME), Madrid, Spain, 2023.

5. A. K. Fedorov, E. O. Kiktenko and A. I. Lvovsky, “Quantum computers put blockchain secu-rity at risk,” nature, pp. 465-467, 2018.
6. J. C. Galliott, M. L. Cappuccio and A. Wyatt, “Taming the Killer Robot: Toward a Set of Ethical Principles for Military Artificial Intelligence,” Journal of Indo-Pacific Affairs, 2022.
7. A. P. David, "Decision-Making in the 21st Century: The Need for a Modern Day System of Expedients to Win in War," Defense Technical Information Center, Norfolk, VA, 2023.
8. K. Bommakanti, “AI in the Indian Armed Services: An Assessment,” Center For Land War-fare Studies (CLAWS), vol. 14, no. 1, p. 101–117, 2021.
9. B. Prakash, “AI and the Future of Air Combat in India,” Journal of Defence Studies, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 285-293, 2022.
10. C. A. Godbole, “AI and Machine Learning for the Indian Navy,” Gateway House: Indian Council on Global Relations., 2020.
11. V. Kozyulin, “Militarization of AI - A Russian Perspective,” NUCLEAR WEAPONS, pp. 1-9, July 2019.
12. D. G. Nagaraj, D. N. Upadhayaya, A. Matroud, N. Sabitha and a. R. N. Dr. Reshma V.K, “A Detailed Investigation on Potential Impact of Quantum Computing on Improving Artificial Intelligence,” in Innovative Data Communication Technologies and Application (ICIDCA), Dehradun, Uttarakhand, 2023.
13. N. M. P. Neumann, M. P. P. v. Heesch and F. Phillipson, “Quantum Computing for Military Applications,” in 202International Conference on Military Communication and Information Systems (ICMCIS), The Hague, Netherlands, 2021.
14. M. C. Horowitz, L. Kahn and C. Mahoney, “The Future of Military Applications of Artificial Intelligence: A Role for Confidence-Building Measures?,” Orbis, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 528-543, 2020.
15. E. H. Christie, A. Ertan, L. Adomaitis and M. Klaus, “Regulating lethal autonomous weapon systems: exploring the challenges of explainability and traceability,” AI Ethics, vol. 4, p. 229–245, 2024.
16. D. D. Leslie, “Understanding artificial intelligence ethics and safety: A guide for the respon-sible design and implementation of AI systems in the public sector,” Zenodo, 2019.
17. Z. Stanley-Lockman, “Responsible and Ethical Military AI Allies and Allied Perspectives,” Center for Security and Emerging Technology, 2021.
18. R. Schwartz, J. Dodge, N. A. Smith and O. Etzioni, “Green AI,” Communications of the ACM, vol. 63, no. 12, pp. 54 - 63, 2020.
19. J. Moses and G. Ford, “Encoding the Enemy: The Politics Within and Around Ethical Algo-rithmic War,” Global Society, vol. 38, no. 1, p. 83–99, 2023.
20. M. Krelina, “Quantum technology for military applications,” EPJ Quantum Technology, vol. 8, no. 24, 2021.
21. H. Roberts, J. Cowls, E. Hine, J. Morley, V. Wang, M. Taddeo and L. Floridi, “Governing artificial intelligence in China and the European Union: Comparing aims and promoting ethi-cal outcomes,” The Information Society, vol. 39, no. 2, p. 79–97, 2022.
22. R. P. Rajagopalan and S. Patil, “Future Warfare and Critical Technologies: Evolving Tactics and Strategies.,” Observer Research Foundation and Global Policy Journal, 2022.
23. K. McKendrick, “The application of Artificial Intelligence in operations planning,” in 11th NATO Operations Research and Analysis Conference., 2017.
24. H. Wang, N. Li and H. Jiang, “Application of Quantum Technology in Military Communica-tions,” in International Conference on Networking, Informatics and Computing (ICNETIC), Palermo, Italy, 2023.
25. J. É. Arrow, S. E. Marsh and J. C. Meyer, “A Holistic Approach to Quantum Ethics Educa-tion,” in 2023 IEEE International Conference on Quantum Computing and Engineering (QCE), Bellevue, WA, USA, 2023.
26. P. Bezombes, S. Brunessaux and S. Cadzow, “Cybersecurity of AI and Standardisation,” European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA), 2023.
27. P. Scharre, “Military Applications of Artificial Intelligence,” NUCLEAR WEAPONS, July 2019.
28. M. Taddeo and L. Floridi, “How AI can be a force for good,” Science, vol. 361, no. 6404, pp. 751-752, 2018.
29. M. Levene and J. Wooldridge, “Certification of Machine Learning Applications in the Con-text of Trustworthy AI with Reference to the Standardisation of AI Systems,” National Physical Laboratory Publications, 2023.
30. N. K. Singh and A. Mondal, “Revolution in Military Affairs and India's Role,” International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 516-524, 2019.